

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 01-CR-80769-DT

v.

HON. DENISE PAGE HOOD

ANDREW GROSS,

Defendant.

---

**ORDER DENYING MOTIONS**

This matter is once again before the Court on several post-judgment motions filed by Defendant Andrew Gross relating to his conviction. On April 17, 2002, Gross was convicted pursuant to a jury verdict of violations under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341, 1029(a)(2), and 1028(a)(7), mail fraud, credit card fraud and identity theft before this Court.<sup>1</sup> On July 23, 2002, Gross was sentenced to 60 months on each mail fraud count, and 84 months on each of the credit card fraud and identity theft counts, with all terms to run concurrent to each other. The Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the Court's Judgment in an Order filed December 23, 2003 (Case No. 02-1981).

Gross has since filed numerous post-judgment motions relating to this

---

<sup>1</sup>Gross also had a criminal matter before the Honorable Bernard A. Friedman, Case No. 02-80163, for securities fraud, wherein Gross entered a plea of guilty and was sentenced to 120 months in prison, consecutive to this Court's sentence of imprisonment.

conviction and related civil cases, including two Motions to Vacate, Set Aside or Correct Sentence under 28 U.S.S. § 2255, which the Court denied in Orders filed May 30, 2003 (Case No. 03-CV-72018) and December 30, 2004 (Case No. 04-CV-73388). In addition, the Court has entered the following Orders in the criminal case number (01-80769): a March 21, 2003 Order denying a Motion to Correct Sentence; a September 2, 2005 Order denying Gross' various motions for downward departure and modification of sentence based on the November 1, 2001 Sentencing Guideline Amendment and calculations in his Presentence report; a January 18, 2007 Order denying a Motion for Reconsideration and Motion for Correction of Clerical Error under Fed. R. Crim. P. Rule 36; a June 4, 2007 Order denying two other Motions for Correction of Clerical Error; a June 22, 2007 Order granting a Motion for Leave to Appeal In Forma Pauperis; a February 6, 2008 Order denying two Motions to Reduce Sentence; a November 10, 2008 Order denying two Motions to Terminate a Term of Supervised Release; a January 20, 2011 Order Denying Motions to Discharge or Reduce Sentence; a February 5, 2013 Order denying various post judgment motions; a March 26, 2013 Order denying Motions to Reduce Sentence re Crack Cocaine Offense; and the latest order on August 11, 2014 denying several motions.

The Sixth Circuit has noted that Gross has filed eight petitions for a writ of mandamus since 2005 and that all petitions have been denied or dismissed. *See In re*

*Andrew Gross III*, Case No. 08-1811 (6th Cir. Oct. 10, 2008) (Order denying petition for writ of mandamus). The Sixth Circuit went on to note that Gross had filed with the appellate court 27 appeals, 8 mandamus petitions and 3 applications for leave to file successive § 2255 actions relating to the two convictions before the undersigned and the Honorable Bernard A. Friedman. *Id.* Gross has been warned by the Sixth Circuit that the continued filing of frivolous mandamus petitions or other similar original actions may result in sanctions limiting his ability to file any matter before the Sixth Circuit. *Id.*

On April 2, 2013, the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals entered an Order denying Gross' request to file a successive motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. (Doc. No. 153) Any Rule 60(b) motion is considered a second or successive § 2255 motion. *In re Sims*, 111 F.3d 45, 47 (6th Cir. 1997); *Gonzales v. Crosby*, 545 U.S. 524, 531 (2005). The Sixth Circuit again entered an Order on May 13, 2015 denying Gross' application for leave to file a successive motion to vacate his sentence. (Doc. No. 175)

The current motions filed before this Court seek the same relief previously sought by Gross in his prior motions. Because the Sixth Circuit has denied Gross' request to file successive motions relating to his conviction, the Court does not have the authority to consider Gross' latest motions. Even if the Court had such authority, the Court denies the motions since they re-hash Gross' previous arguments raised in

his various motions, which the Court has denied in previous orders noted above.

For the reasons set forth above,

IT IS ORDERED that the following motions filed by Defendant Andrew Gross are **DENIED**:

- 1) Motion for Revocation of Supervised Release (**Doc. No. 165**);
- 2) Motion to Amend/Correct Clerical Error in PSR (**Doc. No. 166**);
- 3) Motion for Correction of a Clerical Error (**Doc. No. 167**);
- 4) Motion to Amend/Correct Judgment (**Doc. No. 168**);
- 5) Motion for Retroactive Application of Sentencing Guidelines to Drug Offense 18 U.S.C. § 3582 (**Doc. No. 169**);
- 6) Motion for Retroactive Application of Sentencing Guidelines to Drug Offense 18 U.S.C. § 3582 (**Doc. No. 171**);
- 7) Motion for Retroactive Application of Sentencing Guidelines to Drug Offense 18 U.S.C. § 3582 (**Doc. No. 173**); and,
- 8) Motion for Retroactive Application of Sentencing Guidelines to Drug Offense 18 U.S.C. § 3582 (**Doc. No. 174**).

S/Denise Page Hood

Denise Page Hood

United States District Judge

Dated: May 28, 2015

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served upon counsel of record on May 28, 2015, by electronic and/or ordinary mail.

S/LaShawn R. Saulsberry

Case Manager